	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/912,470	BOYLE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	YUWEN PAN	2618
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IT Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 in</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ The since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 2-9 and 19 is/are pending in the approximate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrays 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2-9, 19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	oor	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ccepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 09/912,470

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/05/08 has been entered.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 2-9, and 19 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 2-9of copending Application No. 10/056,096. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:

Application/Control Number: 09/912,470 Page 3

Art Unit: 2618

a wireless terminal comprising a ground conductor and a transceiver coupled to an antenna feed, wherein the antenna feed is coupled directly to the ground conductor via a capacitor formed by a conducting plate and a portion of the ground conductor.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2-4, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nghiem (US006114996A) in view of Murch et al (US005764190A).

Per claim 19, Nghiem discloses a wireless terminal (see figure 1) comprising a ground conductor (see figure 2 and item 212) and a transceiver (see column 6 and lines 58-65) coupled to an antenna feed (item 216). Nghiem does not teach that the antenna feed is capacityely coupled to the ground conductor by means of a conducting plate separate from and opposed to a portion of the ground conductor. Murch teaches that the antenna feed is capacityely coupled to the ground conductor by means of a completed flated conducting plate separate (figure 2 and item 9) from and opposed to a portion of the ground conductor (see figure 2, item 6, see column 3 and lines 15-48). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Murch with Nghiem's antenna to offset a reactance component corresponding to the imaginary part of the impedance of the antenna.

Art Unit: 2618

Per claim 2, Murch further teaches that the antenna feed is coupled to the ground

conductor housing via a capacitor (see figure 2).

Per claim 3, Murch further teaches that the capacitor is a parallel plate capacitor formed

by a conducting plate and a portion of the ground conductor housing (see figure 1).

Per claim 4, Murch further teaches the antenna feed is coupled to the ground conductor

housing by capacitance between an inductive element and the ground conductor housing (see

figure 1).

6. Claims 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nghiem

(US006114996A) and Murch et al (US005764190A) in further view of Engblom et al

(US006002367A).

Per claim 7, combination of Ngheim and Murch does not teach that the ground conductor

housing is a handset case. Engblom teaches that the ground conductor housing is a handset case

(see figure 1, column 2 and lines 43-45). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in

the art at the time the invention was made to combine the references to provide a antenna within

a small-size mobile phone.

Per claim 8, Engblom further teaches that the ground conductor housing is a printed

circuit ground plane (see column 1 and lines 40-55, conductive first plate as the conductive

pround plane having two layers).

Per claim 9, Ngheim further teaches that a matching network is provided between the transceiver and the antenna (see column 6 and lines 1-15).

Per claim 5, Nghiem doesn't teach that a slot is provided in the ground conductor. Engblom teaches that a slot is provided in the ground conductor (see figure 10B and item 5, column 2 and lines 52-53). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Engblom with Nghiem's device such that it would improve the bandwidth and matching feature.

Per claim 6, Engblom further teaches that slot is parallel to the major axis of the terminal (see figure 1).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to YUWEN PAN whose telephone number is (571)272-7855. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anderson D. Matthew can be reached on 571-272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/912,470 Page 6

Art Unit: 2618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Yuwen Pan February 28, 2008